University Committee for Research and Innovation

TERMS OF REFERENCE
APPROVED BY UCRI ON 11 SEPTEMBER 2019

1. Establishment

a) The University Committee for Research and Innovation is established as a Committee of the Senate1, and reports jointly to the University Executive (UE).

b) The Court has delegated to the Senate all of its powers in relation to academic work and standards so that, subject to the terms of the Charter and the Statutes and to the powers reserved to the Court, the Senate is the principal body responsible for the academic work and standards of the University and in relation to:
   - programmes of study;
   - teaching and research;
   - the granting of awards; and
   - the regulation and stewardship of the education and discipline of the Students.

c) The Senate reports to the Court on the discharging of these responsibilities.

d) Statute 5.4(b) permits the Senate to delegate any of its powers to any Committee which contains at least three of its members (at least one of whom should be an ex officio member of the Senate).

e) Ordinance C4 permits the Senate to establish standing committees and to prescribe the terms of reference, including the remit and composition.

f) An operating principle of this Committee is that when a decision is required in relation to the exercising of delegated powers of the Senate, those persons present who are not Senators shall be invited to abstain from voting.

g) The University Executive (UE) is established by the Principal and Vice-Chancellor, with the endorsement of the Court, as the primary management body of the University. The UE reports to the Court on the discharging of its responsibilities.

h) The work of the UE is supported by contributions from groups, boards and committees, and it may delegate elements of review and decision-making authority to groups, boards and committees.

2. Reporting

To reflect the joint reporting arrangement, the Committee reports:

a) to both the UE and the Senate on matters of strategy and policy as defined in its remit.

b) to the Senate on specifically academic governance matters, such as Regulations (as the Senate is the primary academic body of the University).

c) to the UE on specifically executive matters, such as those relating to staffing, material and other resources (as the UE is the primary management body of the University).

1 from 1 August 2017 (Senate Minute M17/046)
3. Purpose

The purpose of the University Committee for Research and Innovation is to provide institutional-level leadership in the development and implementation of the **academic vision and mission for Research and Innovation** in support of the University’s Strategic Plan.

In this context Research and Innovation is taken to include: research activities of academic staff, the supervision of students registered for research degrees, enterprise activities, business engagement and public engagement.

4. Remit

Note: The Senate agreed (17 May 2017) that the proposed delegated responsibilities of UCRI that currently are discharged by the Postgraduate Studies Committee (PSC) continue to be discharged by the PSC as an interim measure until such time as the Senate can be satisfied that those responsibilities can safely be discharged in the new committee structure.

The specific delegated responsibilities with respect to research degree programmes and higher doctorates, which are to be retained for the time being by the Postgraduate Studies Committee, relate to:

a) considering and approving the granting of awards with respect to research degree programmes and higher doctorates;

b) considering and approving the granting of changes to periods of study for research and higher degree Students;

c) approving, amending, or revoking the appointment of internal and external examiners;

d) considering and approving the academic conditions associated with the establishing, modifying, or withdrawing of research degree programmes

e) considering and approving the academic conditions associated with the establishment, management, or termination of external academic partnerships for the delivery of research degree programmes.

The Senate2 and UE delegate authority to the University Committee for Research and Innovation to:

1. develop, recommend, monitor the implementation of, and report on the Research and Innovation Strategy including to:
   a) develop, recommend, review, monitor and report on performance indicators and other specified targets for the Strategy;
   b) receive and monitor a risk register covering the strategic elements of the Strategy;
   c) review and endorse the Research and Innovation Strategies of Schools and the Strategic Summaries of the relevant Professional Services, ensuring alignment with the overall Research and Innovation Strategy;
   d) receive, disseminate and respond (where appropriate) to the development of the research and innovation policies of key national and international agencies which are in the interests of the university (e.g. UKRI, SFC, EU); ensure the University has representation on appropriate external research bodies, with a focus on maximising the impact of Heriot-Watt University; and
   e) provide strategic oversight of the research student experience.

2. promote and support collaborations and partnerships in research and innovation;

3. identify, and encourage, the means by which the University can capitalise on emerging research and innovation opportunities, with particular emphasis on opportunities for cross-School collaboration;

4. develop, recommend, monitor the implementation of, and report on Ordinances and Regulations for, and make, review, modify, and revoke policies and procedures with respect to:
   a) research and innovation;
   b) research governance and standards including:
      i. researcher conduct;
      ii. research ethics;
      iii. research information and data management;

2 Through the Senate Statement of Primary Responsibilities and Delegations
iv. research student supervision and training; and
v. access to research publications and datasets;
c) appropriate professional development provision for Staff and Students undertaking research;
d) academic quality assurance and enhancement for research degree programmes;
e) academic and other entry requirements, and the recognition of prior learning for research degree programmes and higher doctorates;
f) conditions of registration, periods of registration, and changes of registration for research degree programmes and higher doctorates;
g) assessments, examinations, feedback, and progression for research degree programmes and higher doctorates;
h) the appointment of internal and external examiners for research degree programmes and higher doctorates;
i) the granting, deprivation and revocation of awards with respect to research degree programmes and higher doctorates.

5. consider and make recommendations on:
   a) academic quality assurance and enhancement reviews with respect to research degree programmes;
   b) the findings of quality assurance and enhancement reviews, and action plans arising from these, with respect to research degree programmes;
   c) the University's participation in external research assessment exercises, and the findings and action plans arising thereof;
   d) the enhancement of support in relation to the development of the academic attributes of Students and the research skills of Staff and Students undertaking research;
   e) the development of the physical and virtual academic environment pertaining to research and innovation;
   f) the findings of surveys and other reviews of Student experience and the destinations of graduates with respect to research students and graduates.

6. with respect to research degree programmes and higher doctorates (and supported as required by a sub-committee), to:
   a) consider and approve the granting of awards with respect to research degree programmes and higher doctorates;
   b) consider and approve the granting of changes to periods of study for research and higher degree Students;
   c) approve, amend, or revoke the appointment of internal and external examiners;
   d) consider and approve the academic conditions associated with the establishing, modifying, or withdrawing of research degree programmes
   e) consider and approve the academic conditions associated with the establishment, management, or termination of external academic partnerships for the delivery of research degree programmes;

7. develop and make recommendations regarding the development of library and academic-related information services as they relate to research and innovation.

8. jointly, with the University Committee for Learning and Teaching, develop, recommend, monitor the implementation of, and report on Ordinances, Regulations and policies in respect of access to, and use of, the library services and academic-related information services.

9. receive regular reports from all Schools, including the minutes of the Schools' Research and Innovation Committees;

10. provide advice on such matters related to the Committee’s purpose as may be referred to the Committee from time to time by the UE and/or the Senate;
11. create and receive reports from such sub-committees and short-life working groups as are necessary to
fulfil the responsibilities of the Committee.

   [Note 1: the Committee shall inform the Senate and UE of the creation, on-going work, and disbanding of any sub-
committees and short-life working groups.]
   [Note 2: the Senate must approve the Terms of Reference of any standing sub-committees that are to exercise
delegated powers of the Senate.]
   [Note 3: for the avoidance of doubt, and as outlined within the Remit, the Senate delegates authority in relation to
research programmes of study to this Committee. Commensurate responsibility for taught programmes of
study falls within the remit of the University Committee for Quality and Standards.]

### 5. Composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ex-officio members</th>
<th>Members (as at 1 June 2017)</th>
<th>Appointed until</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chair</strong></td>
<td>Professor Garry Pender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deputy Principal (Research and Innovation)</strong></td>
<td>Dr Gillian Murray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vice-Chair</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deputy Principal (Enterprise &amp; Business)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Principal and Vice Chancellor’s nominee:</strong></td>
<td>TBC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chief Scientist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associate Principal (Global Sustainability)</strong></td>
<td>Professor Mercedes Maroto-Valer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Director of Research from each School</strong></td>
<td>Professor Sebastian Geiger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, Geoscience, Infrastructure and Society (EGiS):</td>
<td>Professor Nick Leslie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering &amp; Physical Sciences (EPS):</td>
<td>Professor Anastasia Doikou</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematical and Computer Sciences (MACS):</td>
<td>Professor Jemina Napier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences (SoSS):</td>
<td>Professor Julian Malins (acting)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles and Design (TEX):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Representation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The elected officer of the Student Union with responsibilities relating to Research and Innovation matters</td>
<td>Mr Rahul Singh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Postgraduate Research Student Representative</td>
<td>Ms Jennifer Lantair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Members Appointed by the Senate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Dean of the University (as nominated by the Senate)</td>
<td>Dr Fadi Ghaith (Science and Engineering)</td>
<td>31/12/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three members of the Senate appointed by the Senate (normally drawn from the Senators elected from Schools, and nominated with a view to helping the Committee reflect the geographical spread of the University)</td>
<td>Professor Vicki Stone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr Kathryn Waite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr Ahmed Elsheikh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional Member(s)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chair(s) of any Sub-Committee(s), if they are not already member(s) of the Committee</td>
<td>Dr James Richards (Chair of Research Ethics Committee)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professor Bryn Jones (Chair of the Research Degrees Committee) (when agenda includes PGR issues)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Members specifically drawn from Malaysia and Dubai

The Director of Research (Malaysia)

The Dubai position to be filled by co-option in the first instance

Professor Lynne Jack (Chair of Research Management Committee (Malaysia))

specific post holder to be proposed in due course

In attendance

The following are normally in attendance at meetings:

- Global Director of Research Engagement
- Director of Planning
- Director of Information Services (or nominee)
- Academic Coordinator, Student Union
- The Clerk to the Committee (from the Academic Registry)

TBC

Mr Richard McGookin

Ms Kathy McCabe

Miss Izi Robe

Mr David Meighan

The following are eligible to attend meetings:

- Secretary of the University
- Two Deans of the University (i.e. the Deans who are not listed above as the member nominated by the Senate)

Ms Ann Marie Dalton-Pillay

Dr Amos Haniff (Pan-University)

Professor Scott Arthur (Humanities and Social Sciences)

6. Chair and Vice-Chair

a) The Chair of the Committee, as nominated by the Principal and Vice-Chancellor, and agreed by the Senate, is the Deputy Principal (Research and Innovation).

b) The Vice-Chair of the Committee, as nominated by the Principal and Vice-Chancellor, and agreed by the Senate, is the Deputy Principal (Enterprise & Business).

c) In the absence of both the Chair and the Vice-Chair from any given meeting, those members present shall appoint one of their number as Acting Chair for that particular meeting.

7. Equality and Diversity in Membership

The Committee exercises its responsibility, as far as possible, to promote diversity of representation within its membership and the membership of any working group or committee established by the Committee. The Committee will also act, in carrying out the business of the Committee, to promote equality of opportunity for all.

8. Quorum and Voting

a) The quorum necessary to transact business is five members, at least two of whom shall be Directors of Research and at least two of whom must be members of the Senate. In accordance with Statute Five, paragraph 4(b), the quorum of any Committee of the Senate exercising delegated powers shall include at least two members of the Senate.

b) Members who are participating in a meeting by means of audio-visual conferencing or other means enabling them to speak with, and be heard by, all members present at the meeting simultaneously shall be deemed to be present at the meeting and to count towards the quorum.

c) Normal practice is that wherever possible decisions shall be reached through consensus.

d) All members of the Committee are entitled to vote in any vote of the Committee except:

d1) when the Committee is required to vote on the exercising of delegated powers of the Senate, those persons present who are not Senators shall be invited to abstain from voting3.

---

3 A change to the Statute shall be proposed in due course to govern this situation
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d2) when the committee is required to vote on a matter that is solely under the purview of the University Executive, then only those persons who are members because of their executive positions shall be eligible to vote.

e) The Chair shall rule on instances where a vote is required, and whether any matter under consideration pertains to the exercising of delegated powers of the Senate, or whether a matter is solely under the purview of the University Executive.

f) A person invited to be ‘in attendance’ at one or more meetings of the Committee is not entitled to vote.

g) The Chair has a deliberative vote and a casting vote.

9. Attendance at meetings

a) The Committee may co-opt members as appropriate for a specified period of time, and report such co-options to the Senate and the UE at the earliest opportunity.

b) In addition to the members, and those normally ‘in attendance’, other colleagues may from time to time be invited to attend meetings on an ad-hoc basis for particular agenda items, and invited to contribute to discussions at the discretion of the Chair.

c) The Committee maintains a record of attendance at each of its meetings and reports this information in accordance with the Attendance Policy.

10. Forward Planning

a) The Committee maintains a list of Standing Agenda Items and an annual schedule of activities.

b) The Committee sets dates for its ordinary meetings two years in advance.

c) As part of its annual year-end self-assessment, the Committee reviews its performance in fulfilling its Remit, and considers possible modifications to its Terms of Reference. This also gives opportunity to review the Composition (including the gender balance of its membership, and the opportunity to receive contributions from the University’s different campuses), and to submit recommendations as appropriate to the Senate and to the UE.

11. Principles of Operation

In fulfilling its terms of reference, the Committee shall follow the principles as agreed by the Senate to enhance academic governance seeking:

a) closer alignment between the decision-making structure and the University’s strategic objectives, to ensure that the decision making structures are fit for the delivery of the strategy;

b) a more efficient approach to the decision making process, leading to clearer lines of reporting and accountability, a reduction in time taken to reach business-critical decisions, and high quality outcomes;

c) main committees should focus on matters of strategy and policy, with operational matters being dealt with by the relevant colleagues in consultation with ad hoc working groups as required;

d) minimisation of business considered by numerous different groups and with appropriate accountability for decisions taken;

e) an assumption that decisions will be reached by consensus wherever possible;

f) an assumption that each Committee will address business internationally;

g) appropriate delineation between governance and management;

h) the papers coming forward to committees should contain clear, evidence-based proposals for consideration;

i) greater use should be made of IT facilities to reduce the amount of paper being circulated, with members of committees and others being able to access committee papers on a local intranet with appropriate access authorisation; and

j) effective communication of decisions to all relevant parties throughout the University.

12. Sub-committees and Short-life working groups of the Committee
The Committee is empowered to create such sub-committees and short-life working groups as are necessary to fulfill its responsibilities.

It is anticipated that this Committee will have three sub-committees (Terms of Reference for each to be confirmed in due course):

i. **Research Ethics Committee**
   Ethical standards in the conduct of research in the University are the responsibility of the University Research Ethics Committee, and of School and Institute sub-committees, whose work it oversees.

ii. **Research Degrees Committee**
   This is to be a co-ordinating body for the oversight of all PGR matters across the University. This body will make an annual report to the Committee for Quality and Standards regarding research programmes of study.

iii. **Research Management Committee (Malaysia)**
   This is a co-ordinating body for oversight of all Research matters at the Malaysia Campus and supports the work of the Director of Research (Malaysia).

iv. **School Research Committees**
   School research committees report via minutes of meetings to the University Committee for Research and Innovation.

13. **Frequency of meetings**
   
   a) The Committee normally meets five time each Academic Year in advance of Senate meetings.
   
   b) The Chair of the Committee may choose to hold periodic strategy days consistent with the strategic function of the Committee.
   
   c) Additional meetings (including meetings held in conjunction with other bodies) may be required – with the approval by the Chair – for more detailed consideration of particular items.
   
   d) Changes to arrangements, such as cancellation, rescheduling or additional meetings will be notified to members by the Clerk as soon as possible, and normally within not less than five working days of the scheduled meeting date.

14. **Lifespan and Effectiveness of the Committee**
   
   This is an established Committee of the Senate and its lifespan is ongoing.
   
   An annual year-end self-assessment is conducted, with a formal review of effectiveness every five years.

15. **Actions that may be taken by the Committee**
   
   The Committee may:
   
   - Approve
   - Note
   - Receive
   - Consider
   - Endorse
   - Recommend
   - Reject
   - Ratify

16. **Minutes**
   
   a) Minutes of each meeting are distributed to members within two weeks of the meeting. The Chair approves the content of minutes before release.
   
   b) The minutes are presented to the next ordinary meeting of the Senate and to the next business meeting of the UE, with any matters for consideration and/or approval highlighted in a cover paper.
   
   c) The Committee’s agenda and minutes are published on the University Intranet.
   
   d) Agendas, minutes and papers are held electronically by the Clerk to the Committee.
   
   e) The Committee has traditional/formal minutes in accordance with internal University guidance on style, and requirements regarding Data Protection and Freedom of Information legislation.
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f) The agendas, papers and minutes for all meetings should be copied to the Head of Heritage and Information Governance for archiving purposes.

17. Resources

   Clerk – Mr David Meighan, Quality Enhancement Officer, Academic Registry
   Meetings - Normally five meetings per session