

ACADEMIC REVIEW OF:

DATE:

LOCATION:

1. INTRODUCTION

An Academic Review of [] took place on [] by a team comprising:

Review Team:

Advisors/Observers:

2. REVIEW TEAM CONCLUSION

[The Review Team will reach a conclusion with regard to the effective management of processes that are in place to ensure:

- that academic standards are being maintained*
- that programmes, and the student learning experience, are of an appropriate quality and are being enhanced*

3. PROGRAMME RE-APPROVAL

The Review Team will make a recommendation to the University Committee for Quality and Standards, with regard to the re-approval and ongoing delivery of programmes

4. GOOD PRACTICE AND POSITIVE FEEDBACK

[This section must be completed]

4.1.

4.2.

4.3.

5. FORMAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION

[This section should only be completed if the Team has recommendations that must be undertaken.

5.1. *Brief and clear statements about what is required. Contextual information should be provided within section 8*

5.2.

6. **OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION**

[This section should include recommendations for consideration only.]

6.1. *Brief and clear statements about what is required. Contextual information should be provided within section 8*

6.2.

7. **UNIVERSITY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION**

[This section should only be completed if the Team has recommendations that need to be considered at the University level.]

7.1. *Brief and clear statements about what is required. Contextual information should be provided within section 8*

7.2.

8. **REVIEW TEAM'S COMMENTARY**

8.1. **STUDENT LEARNING EXPERIENCE**

[This section should include:

- a brief paragraph to summarise the overall outcomes*
- contextual information to provide clarification on recommendations made]*

8.1.1.Sub-heading

REVIEWER

[text]

8.1.2.Sub-heading

REVIEWER

[text]

8.1.3.Sub-heading

REVIEWER

[text]

8.1.4.Sub-heading

REVIEWER

[text]

8.2. **ACADEMIC STANDARDS AND QUALITY**

[This section should include:

- a brief paragraph to summarise the overall outcomes*
- contextual information to provide clarification on recommendations made]*

8.2.1. Sub-heading

REVIEWER

[text]

8.2.2.Sub-heading
REVIEWER
[text]

8.2.3.Sub-heading
REVIEWER
[text]

8.2.4.Sub-heading
REVIEWER
[text]

8.3. QUALITY ENHANCEMENT

[This section should include:

- a brief paragraph to summarise the enhancement discussions and any outcomes*
- contextual information to provide clarification on recommendations made]*

8.3.1.Enhancement Workshop
REVIEWER - ALL
[text]

8.3.2.Sub-heading
REVIEWER
[text]

8.3.3.Sub-heading
REVIEWER
[text]

8.3.4.Sub-heading
REVIEWER
[text]